

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Greater Manchester Spatial Framework has failed to be honest and factually correct, I am very Concerned of why GMSF changed its name to Places for Everyone. This has caused confusion and placed the emphasize on the true Credibility of this Plan
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The Plan must be withdrawn. There are too many incorrect fact and figures, It is unnecessary, deliberately misleading and damaging to the environment.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	JP-S 6 Clean Air
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not	We Must develop the existing 15/20years of Brownfield Land we have! Destroying the precious Greenbelt is madness

to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Scrap the plan, it is a waste of Public Money
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Factually in correct and misleading
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Scrap the plan, The plan using our Brownfield Sites should be Created, by using a Clear honest Public Process
Family Name	Williams

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	JP-G 11 Safeguarded Land
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Brownfield First
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Do not Remove the Protected Status of the Greenbelt
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This plan will have a negative effect on the Wellbeing and Health of residents and visitors
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	The Greenbelt has a positive effect on the Mental and Physical health of residents and visitors. The History, Wildlife and ancient hedgerows must be protected from unnecessary development.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	JP-P2 Heritage
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I have seen our historic Private and Public Building and Landscapes deliberately neglected by our local Council over the last 57 years. Middleton has lost a great deal of its Precious Heritage, We must Protect what little we have left
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the	Scrap the unsound Places for Everyone & GMSF

plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	JP-P6 Health
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Destroying the Greenbelt is Detrimental to the Health of the community
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Only Brownfield should be included in the Plan
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	JPA 2: Stakehill
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The Area does not need anymore houses or Industrial Units, the plan over the years has seen the number of houses increase from 900 to 1680 ? we do not have the demand, Middleton, Heywood and Rochdale alone has seen a vast amount of houses built in a short period of time, The area does not have the infrastructure to cope, The council have confirmed Rochdale Road is build on sand and water. Slattocks Roundabout M24, 30.09.21 was again flooded. Grange Road M24 is prone to flooding several times a year, where Church Avenue and Rochdale Rd are seriously and dangerously affected. The surrounding fields act as a sponge, Developing this land will not only increase local flooding, it will guarantee flooding in lowerlands like Middleton Center. We can not afford to lose this farm land. This land supports our local farms which produce, Milk Eggs Meat etc. We are going to need the land more than ever since leaving the EU.</p> <p>Evidence has shown Rochdale has enough Brownfield to meet the Demand for new housing. Stakehill industrial estate is far from capacity, serious consideration should be addressed of the change of use of some of the units and land, Extending the site is not certainly not required.</p> <p>The Plan does not take into consideration the existing housing and communities.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Please remove JPA2 from the plan.</p> <p>GMSF and PfE should be scrapped, It will have irreversible damage on our Greenbelt, Countryside, Wildlife, Leisure ,Walking, Running Cycling, Heath, Wellbeing, Air Quality, Safety and damage to existing properties. The long term damage has not been considered in the document. The Plan will lead to unnecessary spending and increased demand on the public purse for very little gain, The validity of this flawed plan.</p> <p>Middleton and Rochdale Town Centers, are crying out for redevelopment, The recent change in Shopping Habits & the Massive growth in internet Shopping, Fewer retail units are required and an introduction of artisan etc outlets required. We need affordable and green Apartments/Housing in our City Centers encouraging footfall back onto our high streets, with with have a positive affect on our Carbon Footprint. Something that GMSF and PfE has not taken into account from the beginning.</p>
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Can be met from existing Brownfield Land
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Scrap PfE /GMSF
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	JP-D2 Developer Contributions
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	This plan should of been planned at local level with the involvement of the local Community. It hasn't been
Redacted modification - Please set out the	Scrap PfE /GMSF

modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	Supporting Evidence
Type	Web
Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Having attended many meetings, the way GMSF / PfE has conducted itself, the inaccurate and misleading information, both printed and verbally. Funds are being raised for a Legal Defense as to highlight the Unsoundness of the Plan. We can not let this Flawed Plan take away of Our Greenbelt, damage our Towns and Villages and Negatively affect the Heath and Wellbeing of our valuable Communities FOREVER.
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	Other Comments
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	Other Comments
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Williams
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286699
Title	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No